



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry
Manuscript Number:	MS: 2012 IRJPAC 2600
Title of the Manuscript:	A comparative study on the use of Calcium Acetate Lactate, Calcium chloride and Acidic Ammonium Acetate- Ethylene Diaminetetra acetic acid (AAAc-EDTA) for the quantification of extractable, P, K and Mg from acidic soils.

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
The authors substantially revised the manuscript and improved the text. Therefore, the manuscript can be accepted for publication. However, I still have some comments and questions: - Line 49: what is Truog method and why is the most effective for extraction? - Line 149: The bars labeled by the same letter did not significantly differ at $\alpha=????$ (add significance level) Please, clarify and revise	Truog method has been detailed in the test. The author did not advance any reason for it extracting more than the other methods. However, I think it is because it is a buffered method and could work well under alkaline conditions since they worked on calcareous soils. The alpha level has been indicated as requested Some reasons for the variation in quantity of nutrient extracted by method have been advanced.